

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/648,497	IDICULA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dangelino N. Gortayo	2168	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Dangelino N. Gortayo.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Christian A. Nicholes (Reg No. 50,266).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 January 2008

**Time:** 4:30 p.m.

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*current rejection of record*

Claims discussed:

*Claims 28 and 30*

Prior art documents discussed:

*prior art of record*

**Part II.**


**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims as directly resulted in the Examiner's Amendments. The term "computer-readable medium" in claims 28 and 30 were discussed as it relates to the specification, particularly paragraph 89 of the specification..